**Award Criteria**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question 1** | **Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer** |  |
| Please detail your organisational capacity to deliver the requirement specified in section 2 of this Request for Quotation. Where appropriate and useful, please include:* Readiness for mobilisation (Delivery must be completed by the 31 March 2023, so the earliest possible start is essential). Bidders **must** upload a separate mobilisation plan to support their response to this question, which does not form part of the word count.
* Staffing resources and skills available to deliver this commission.
 | Please give details of: * How and where you will deliver
* Availability of staffing.
* Existing relationships with schools that can help you deliver the project.
 | **Weighted score of 30%****Minimum score of 2** |
| **Question 2** | **Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer** |  |
| Please explain how you will work with young people and schools to deliver the service requirement and improve school attendance. We will look for evidence of the following and score accordingly:* Method and model of delivery
* Number of students who can be supported
* Plans to improve attendance
* How you Quality Assure delivery as it proceeds and progresses?
* Range and variety of activities
* Support for those with mental health needs and other vulnerabilities
 | We will be looking for:* Meeting students’ needs.
* Number of students who can be supported
* Staffing, organisation, referrals and planning for students
* Range and variety of activities
* Support for those with SEN and mental health needs.
 | **Weighted score of 30%****Minimum score of 2** |
| **Question 3** | **Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer** |  |
| Please demonstrate how you have fulfilled similar projects and the impact achieved?* Please provide evidence of practical delivery with children and young people
* Number of students who were supported in previous models
* Evidence of improved attendance
* Evidence of previous experience of delivering face to face Attendance Programmes.
* Range and variety of activities offered
* Evidence of support for those with mental health needs and other needs and/or vulnerabilities.
 | Please give details of:* The types of students you worked with as well as how you engaged with Kent Schools
* Method of delivery.
* Details of outcomes and success rates
* When these took place and the duration
* How did you support vulnerable CYP including differentiating support
 | **Weighted score of 20%****Minimum Score of 2** |
| **Question 4** | **Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer** |  |
| How your organisation will work with the families and other community support structures to help sustain any impact of this commission. | Responses should set out how the prime contractor would provide support for local priorities, campaigns and projects, encourage greater community cohesion, promote healthier and more resilient communities | **Weighted score of 20%****Minimum Score of 2** |

# Scoring Method

The following scoring methodology will be used to evaluate each answer:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0 – Unacceptable | Nil or inadequate response. Fails to demonstrate an ability to meet the requirement. |
| 1 – Poor | Response is partially relevant and poor. The response addresses some elements of the requirement but contains insufficient/limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how the requirement will be fulfilled. |
| 2 – Acceptable | Response is relevant and acceptable. The response addresses a broad understanding of the requirement but may lack detailson how the requirement will be fulfilled in certain areas. |
| 3 – Good | Response is relevant and good. The response is sufficiently detailed to demonstrate a good understanding and provides details on how the requirements will be fulfilled. |
| 4 - Excellent | Response is completely relevant and excellent overall. The response is comprehensive, unambiguous and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirement and provides details of how the requirement will be met in full. |

**Important Note:** Tenderers are required to address ALL the requirements specified in the Requirement with details of how each requirement is met. Responses such as “noted”, “agreed”, “compliant” or similar do not provide sufficient information to form a reasoned evaluation of the proposed solution and consequently will be marked as non- compliant.