Regulation 44 Question Guide and Award Criteria

| Question 1 | Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer Would Include | Question Weighting |
|---|---|--|
| Please provide details of the experiences your organisation has had of delivering an Independent Visitor Regulation 44 service or similar. | Bidder clearly demonstrates examples of suitable relevant experiences. Track record in delivering similar services or evidence of appropriate systems infrastructures demonstrating the Bidder's capability and capacity to deliver the requirements. Bidder demonstrates having suitably trained and qualified staff in place to deliver requirements. | 20% Minimum Score of 2 (Acceptable) to Pass |
| Question 2 | Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer Would Include | Question Weighting |
| In relation to previous experience, please provide evidence to demonstrate how your organisation has delivered and embedded improvements through the Independent Visitor role or similar. | Bidder clearly demonstrates suitable relevant experience though examples of improvements that have embedded in relation to the independent visitor role or similar. Bidder demonstrates evidence of professional relationship building and feedback. | 15% Minimum Score of 2 (Acceptable) to Pass |
| Question 3 | Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer Would Include | Question Weighting |
| What do you consider to be the key criteria for assessing the quality of the care provided to children in considering the fitness for purpose of a short break children's home? | Bidder clearly demonstrates suitable relevant knowledge of best practice guidance. Bidder demonstrating knowing of key areas in relation to short break children's homes or similar. | 15% Minimum Score of 2 (Acceptable) to Pass |
| Question 4 | Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer Would Include | Question Weighting |
| How will you ensure the visits would remain child focused and how will you consider each individual child's needs are being met and safeguarded? | Bidder clearly demonstrates suitable relevant knowledge of safeguarding. Includes the ability to differentiate their communication style based on the needs of the individuals. Bidder demonstrates an understanding of the importance of capturing the child's voice. | 20% Minimum Score of 2 (Acceptable) to Pass |
| Question 5 | Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer Would Include | Question Weighting |
| How will you ensure that the Visit Report is balanced, fair and evidence-based? | Bidder clearly demonstrates suitable relevant knowledge of best practice guidance. | 20% Minimum Score of 2 (Acceptable) to Pass |

| | Bidder demonstrates through examples to ability to capture a balanced view. Bidder gives examples of evidence-based impact. | |
|--|--|---|
| Question 6 | Evaluation Guidance/Model Answer Would Include | Question Weighting |
| In relation to previous contracts and experience, please provide evidence to demonstrate how your organisation has delivered improvements to the economic, social, or environmental wellbeing of a relevant area. How will you provide opportunities for all, including the most vulnerable, to make a valuable contribution | Bidder clearly demonstrates suitable relevant experience, showing a track record in delivering similar services and demonstrates how the Bidder's delivery of these services improves the economic, social or environmental wellbeing of relevant areas. Understands different methods of communication. Understands the importance of capturing services user's view. | 10% Minimum Score of 2 (Acceptable) to Pass |

.

Scoring Method

The following scoring methodology will be used to evaluate each answer:

| 0 – Unacceptable | Nil or inadequate response. Fails to demonstrate an ability to meet the requirement. |
|------------------|---|
| 1 – Poor | Response is partially relevant and poor. The response addresses some elements of the requirement but contains insufficient/limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how the requirement will be fulfilled. |
| 2 – Acceptable | Response is relevant and acceptable. The response addresses a broad understanding of the requirement but may lack details on how the requirement will be fulfilled in certain areas. |
| 3 – Good | Response is relevant and good. The response is sufficiently detailed to demonstrate a good understanding and provides details on how the requirements will be fulfilled. |
| 4 - Excellent | Response is completely relevant and excellent overall. The response is comprehensive, unambiguous and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirement and provides details of how the requirement will be met in full. |

Important Note: Tenderers are required to address ALL the requirements specified in the Requirement with details of how each requirement is met. Responses such as "noted", "agreed", "compliant" or similar do not provide sufficient information to form a reasoned evaluation of the proposed solution and consequently will be marked as non- compliant.