**Evaluation of Tender for Design of new Pavilion off Bourley Road, Aldershot, Hampshire**

1. Any contract that is awarded as a result of this procurement will be on the basis of a weighted scoring approach. The award criteria are:

The Project Lead MUST be registered with the Architects Registration board. Please Confirm with evidence. PASS / FAIL

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Quality (please see chart below)** | **50** |
| **Cost**  **Price for RIBA stages 0-3 inclusive**  **Price for RIBA stages 4-7 inclusive**  The lowest quote will be awarded maximum points for this criterion and all other tenders awarded points in proportion | **50 of which**  **40%**  **10%** |

1. The Quality criteria will be scored as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Maximum points** |
| Providing evidence of working with similar building types such as community/ sports centres with requirements of Sport England and Football foundation in respect of their standards. | 40 |
| Provide a project plan with timescales, the team members and tasks allocated to them. | 10 |
| **TOTAL** | **50** |

1. The Council will use the following scoring definitions to score the ‘Sector Coverage & Ability to Deliver’ and ‘Relevant Experience and Track Record’ sections.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Exceptional demonstration by the Tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate. | 5 – Excellent |
| Good demonstration by the Tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate. | 4– Good |
| Satisfactory demonstration by the tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate. | 3 – Satisfactory |
| Contains minor shortcomings in the demonstration by the tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate and/or is inconsistent or in conflict with other proposals with little or no evidence to support the response. | 2 – Minor  Reservations |
| Satisfies the requirement but with considerable reservations of the Tenderer’s relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services, with little or no evidence to support the response. | 1 – Serious  Reservations  - Fail  Submissions that  ‘Fail’ will not be  considered further |
| No response provided. | 0 – no score – Fail  Submissions that  ‘Fail’ will not be  considered further |